EXHIBIT A

1	RACHEL M. CROCKETT	
2	California State Bar No. 266447 LLOYD & MOUSILLI, PLLC	
3	11807 Westheimer Road Suite 550 PMB 944	
4	Houston, TX 77077	
5	Tel: (512) 609-0059 Fax: (281) 783-8565	
6	litigation@lloydmousilli.com	
7	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DISTRICT	
9		
10	DAVID HOUGH; MOULOUD HOCINE;	Case No.: 2:24-cv-02886
11	JENNIFER LEHMKUHL HILL; AMUND THOMPSON;	
12	PAUL PANICO	Assigned for all purposes to:
13	Plaintiffs,	JUDGE WESLEY L. HSU
14	v.	
15		
16	RYAN CARROLL; MAX K. DAY;	
17	MAX O. DAY; MICHAEL DAY; YAX ECOMMERCE LLC; PRECISION	DEFENDANT MAX O. DAY'S AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE
18	TRADING GROUP, LLC; WA DISTRIBUTION LLC;	JURISDICTIONAL DEFENDANTS'
19	PROVIDENCE OAK PROPERTIES, LLC;	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PRELIMINARY
20	WA AMAZON SELLER LLC; MKD INVESTMENT ADVISOR, LLC;	INJUNCTION
21	MKD FAMILY BENEFICIARY, LLC; MKD FAMILY PRIVATE MANAGEMENT	
22	COMPANY, LLC;	
23	MAX DAY CONSULTING, LLC; HOUTEX FARM EQUITY PARTNERS	
24	LLC; BUSINESS FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS ADVISORY LLC; EVO MAXX LLC;	
25	YAX IP AND MANAGEMENT INC. (D.B.A.	A .: Fil 1 4 110 2004
26	"FULFILLABLE"); WWKB LLC; DREAMS TO REALITY LLC;	Action Filed: April 9, 2024 Hearing: April 29, 2024
27	Defendants.	Trial Date: N/A
	Dejenuunis.	
28	- 1 - DEFENDANT MAX O. DAY'S AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE JURISDICTIONAL DEFENDANTS' SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN	
	Opposition to Deel Mana by Industrian	

OPPOSITION TO PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

1 2

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Max O. Day, and

3

being duly sworn upon his oath by me, deposed and stated as follows:

4 5

1. "My name is **Max O. Day** I am over eighteen (18) years of age. I am of sound mind and fully capable to make this Business Record Affidavit. The facts set forth herein are based on my personal knowledge and are true and correct. I am competent to testify to the facts herein.

6

7

2. "I was the Chief Growth & Technology Consultant of Yax Ecommerce, LLC, formerly known as Wealth Assistants, LLC ("Wealth Assistants").

8

3. "I am familiar with the matters in controversy in the litigation against Defendants Ryan Carroll; Max K. Day; Michael Day, Wealth Assistants; WA Distribution LLC; Precision Trading Group, LLC, and myself (collectively, the "Jurisdictional Defendants") and others in the United States District Court for the Central District of California ("CDCA") (herein referred to as the "Hough Litigation").

11

12

13

10

4. "I submit this affidavit in support of the Jurisdictional Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Opposition to the Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause Regarding a Preliminary Injunction ("Plaintiffs' Application") filed in the CDCA in the Hough Litigation.

14

15

5. "I began my consulting, assuming the role of Growth Consultant for Wealth Assistants, back in August 2022. Drawing from my background, I focused on providing comprehensive strategies, solutions, and systems to help navigate and alleviate organizational constraints, with the aim of fostering a smoother trajectory for growth. Despite my title, I was only an independent contractor for Wealth Assistants, not an employee, owner, manager or stakeholder.

16 17

18

19

6. I have never had any access to the company's funds, financial records, bank accounts, or other assets, beyond the occasional performance report provided by Ryan Carroll, Max K. Day, and other individuals who were running the company. Any assumption to the contrary is wholly inaccurate.

20

7. It's important to clarify that, despite sharing a name with one of the defendants (Max K. Day), I have never had any ownership stake, equity, or decision-making authority within the company. Any assumption to the contrary is wholly inaccurate.

22

23

21

8. In fact, I am currently owed a substantial sum—exceeding \$50,000—for services rendered to Wealth Assistants as an independent contractor.

24

25

26

9. The financial strain resulting from the Hough litigation and the resulting Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") issued by the CDCA has taken a toll on both my family and my business. False and defamatory information circulating online, largely due to mistaken identity (due to the shared name with Defendant Max K. Day), has further exacerbated our challenges. My consulting business has suffered, as prospective clients have been deterred by these baseless allegations.

27

28

Document 61-1

#:1093

Filed 05/31/24 Page 4 of 4 Page ID

Case 2:24-cv-02886-WLH-SK